arXiv:1607.05692v2 [cond-mat.stat-mech] 15 Feb 2017

The Interplay between Frustration and Entanglement in Many-Body Systems

S. M. Giampaolo,[1] K. Simonov,[2] A. Capolupo,[3] and B. C. Hiesmayr[2]

[1] *International Institute of Physics, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Norte, 59078-400 Natal-RN, Brazil*
[2] *Fakultät für Physik, Universität Wien, Boltzmanngasse 5, 1090 Vienna, Austria*
[3] *Dipartimento di Fisica "E.R.Caianiello", Università di Salerno, and INFN Gruppo collegato di Salerno, Fisciano (SA) - 84084, Italy*

Entanglement (a quantum feature) and frustration (a non quantum feature) are important properties of many particle systems. This paper investigates the link between those two phenomena. To this end, we define a family of Hamiltonians and solve the eigensystem problem for different numbers of particles and different distant-depending interaction strengths. Though the microscopic properties vary extremely we can show that the introduced characteristic functions quantify frustration and entanglement reliably. One main result is a function, termed anisotropy function, sensible simultaneously to frustration and asymmetries of local entanglement. Our toolbox allows for a classification of models with certain entanglement-frustration properties that may be extended to other Hamiltonians.

PACS numbers: 03.65.Ud, 03.67.Mn, 05.30.-d, 75.10.Jm

I. INTRODUCTION

Frustration is a common feature in complex physical systems since it generally characterizes competing needs that are impossible to be fulfilled simultaneously. It is not a phenomenon that, per se, is connected to the quantum nature in our world. Frustration is to all of us a known experience if an obstacle prevents the satisfaction of desire. Even in the jurisdiction definitions of frustration can be found. In strong contrast to entanglement that is lacking in a classical world. It can lead to correlations that are stronger than those that can be generated with classical systems. Two particles can share information that is stored in the whole system but is not available in the separated systems. Even stronger for multipartite systems sharing of information of two particles limits the information with other particles (monogamy) [1], there is a trade-off of shared information. Though both phenomena are distinct properties of systems, they are not unrelated, revealing the interplay for many particle systems is the focus of this paper.

In the last years researchers have developed several toolboxes to compute the local and global ground states of physical systems consisting of many particles exposed to different interactions [2]. With this progress the computation of entanglement, defined onto states given a certain subalgebra structure, has become a possible enterprise. Indeed, for a plethora of models entanglement in many-body systems has been shown to play a fundamental role (for an overview see e.g. [3]). For instance the area law [4–7] is one that allows to understand the emergent of topologically ordered phases of matter [8]. Entanglement explains the local thermalization [9–12] or the selection of a particular subset of states from a huge ground state space [13]. Even the absence of entanglement gives a strong insight into the type of macroscopic phases that are realized [14]. It has been shown that the standard density matrix renormalisation group (DMRG) algorithm, also known as matrix product formalism, allows to relate properties such as polymerisation to entanglement [16, 17] by reordering the particles. However, also more refined quantities can be introduced. In Ref. [15] macroscopic observables capable of detecting (genuine) multipartite entanglement have been shown to work, including non-zero temperatures. Here, the authors compared the minimal energy of ground states to the minimal energy when optimised over the set of states with particular entanglement features. If both minimal energies do not overlap, then clearly there is entanglement in the game. This entanglement-energy gap witnesses via the macroscopic observable, energy, the presence of multipartite entanglement.

Most works have so far focussed on the analysis of frustration originating from the impossibility for a many-body system to satisfy all the energy constraints simultaneously [18–24]. Recent works [25, 26] have introduced a measure of frustration that allows to tackle both the frustration originating of classical (geometrical) or quantum sources. In particular, extending the classical Toulouse condition a set of inequalities bounded by entanglement was put forward.

If we think of maximally entangled states in the context of quantum information theory they differ only in their local information, in the choice of the reference system. They describe the same resource of information. Therefore, any proper entanglement measure has to assign the same value to each one of those. Complex many bodies are characterized by shaping different orders. Thus frustration marks a difference between the particular realisations of configurations. Immediately, the question arises how frustration and entanglement interplay? Despite growing interest in entanglement in condensed matter systems, up to our best knowledge this question has not been tackled and it presents the main aim of this contribution.

Consequently, we search for a system for which the local ground states have the same entanglement properties. This rules out models with external fields and puts strong restrictions onto the presence of cluster terms (including such as Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction terms $\vec{S}_i \times \vec{S}_j$, where $\vec{S}$ refers to the magnetic spin vector and i and j labels the different spins). Since we are interested in very interplay between frustration and entanglement, our system should allow us to distinguish the source of frustration, i.e. geometrical or quantum type. As we show in detail anisotropic Heisenbergs models defined on a one-dimensional ring with an even number

of spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ serve our purpose. In detail we introduce a family of Hamiltonians with short- and long-range interactions and two-body Heisenberg-like interactions (Sect. II). We take the interaction to depend on the power α of the inverse distance between the spin-$\frac{1}{2}$. These distance depending forces allow us to obtain a continuous transformation between these two extreme interactions. As we show in detail the local entanglement of ground states is not sensitive neither to the interaction strength nor to any introduced anisotropy between interactions in the x, y or z direction. Moreover, our chosen family benefits from the fact that all possible sources of frustration are existent. In this sense we provide a complete, qualitative and qualitative picture of the interplay between entanglement and frustration for an exemplary system that may turn out to be a reference system for systems which can undergo more interactions.

Our paper is organized as follows, after the definition of the family of Hamiltonians (Sect. II), we start to analyse frustration due to geometrical origin (Sect. III. Then we proceed our analysis by turning on the antiferomagnetic interactions in one dimension. We define a proper frustration measure and compute its depending on the interaction strength α. In this case the frustration can be said to be only due to geometrical (classical) sources. This changes when we allow also interaction in different spatial directions (Sect. IV). Again we find continuity in the behavior of the short- to long-range interactions though the huge differences in the properties of the local and global states of the system under investigations. In the conclusions (Sect. V) we summarize the rich underlying physics with respect to the interplay of entanglement and frustration.

II. FAMILY OF MANY-BODY SYSTEMS

Our family of many-body systems undergoes two-body Heisenberg-like interactions on a one-dimensional ring of an even number N of spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ particles with periodic boundary conditions. For sake of generality we consider systems invariant under spatial translations and only distant-depending interactions are considered. Given these constraints the Hamiltonian reads

$$H = -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i\neq j}\frac{1}{|i-j|^{\alpha}}\left(J_x\,\sigma_i^x\sigma_j^x + J_y\,\sigma_i^y\sigma_j^y + J_z\,\sigma_i^z\sigma_j^z\right), \tag{1}$$

where σ_i^{μ} with $\mu = x, y, z$ are the standard Pauli operators defined on the i-th spin of the one-dimensional ring with periodic boundary condition ($\sigma_i^{\mu} = \sigma_{i+N}^{\mu}$). J_{μ} are the anisotropies in the different spatial directions. Since the different spatial directions are interchangeable, without loss of generality, we may fix $J_z = -1$ and consider the behavior of the system varying only the anisotropies J_x and J_y in the interval $[-1, 1]$.

For this parameter space we observe three different local ground states of any pair of spins $\{i, j\}$. Namely for $J_y \leq -J_x$ an antisymmetric maximally entangled state, $|\psi^-\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\{|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle - |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle\}$, and for the opposite case $J_y \geq -J_x$ a symmetric maximally entangled state, $|\psi^+\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\{|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle + |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle\}$.



Figure 1: (Colour online) Behaviour of the characteristic frustration function $\tilde{f}$ defined in Eq. (8) for the family of Hamiltonians obtained for $J_x = J_y = 0, J_y = -1$ in dependence of the number N of spins and the interaction strength α. For $\alpha < 1$ the frustration value is diverging, whereas for $\alpha \geq 1$ it reaches a finite value.

Whereas for $J_y = J_x$ we have a twofold degenerated local ground state which projector is the sum of the projectors of the two above maximally entangled states (these two maximally entangled states are two out of the four so called Bell states defined explicitly in Eq. 16).

The distance between two particles i and j is defined by $r = |i-j|$. The strength of the distance depending interactions are modelled by α. For $\alpha = 0$ all spins are interacting with all other ones with the same strength, thus this is the infinite-range interaction case. The other extreme case is obtained for $\alpha \to \infty$, where we basically have only nearest-neighbour interactions, i.e. a short-range interactions. In-between we have the long-range interactions. We have also for some cases tested an exponential function for the distance $r = |i - j|$ rather than the above polynomial. We have not observed any qualitative difference.

The forthcoming analyzes is done by computing the exact ground state of the system and from it the entanglement and frustration properties of all the pair of spins in the systems. When analytical results were not attainable we used the Lanczos algorithm allowing numerical diagonalization. We use an exact diagonalization algorithm via the program Octave 3.6 to obtain the whole set of the global ground states from which we construct the maximally mixed ground state. From this representative state we calculate the corresponding frustration function $\tilde{f}(\alpha, N)$. Numerical tests are performed for the finite-size models with definite N, which represents the (even) number of spins in the system, running from 6 to 16. The parameter α is varied between 0 (the limiting case for infinite range interactions) and 100. To obtain the frustration function we take into account that J_x and J_y range between -1 and 1. In this way we take for each anisotropy coefficient (except fixed J_z) 101 equidistant different values and evaluate $\tilde{f}(\alpha, N)$ for each one of the $101 \cdot 101 = 10201$ models with fixed α and N.

III. FRUSTRATION DUE TO GEOMETRICAL SOURCES

We start the analyses of frustration for the family of Hamiltonians (1) by first discussing the case with the choices $J_x = J_y = 0$. This is an antiferromagnetic Ising model with short-range or long-range interactions modeled by the parameter α

$$H = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i\neq j}\frac{1}{|i-j|^\alpha}\sigma_i^z\sigma_j^z \ . \tag{2}$$

The local ground states, i.e. the ground states of the local terms of the Hamiltonian, and the global ground states can be analytically derived. To compute frustration we explore the quantity introduced in Ref. [26]. Independently of the interaction strength α the local Hamiltonian of any pair of spins $\{i,j\}$ occupies a two-fold degenerated Hilbert space which is spanned by two separable states $|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle$ and $|\downarrow\uparrow\rangle$. Consequently, the projector onto the local ground space is given by

$$\hat{\Pi} = |\uparrow\downarrow\rangle\langle\uparrow\downarrow| + |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle\langle\downarrow\uparrow| \ . \tag{3}$$

The global ground state ρ is always degenerated. In contrast to the local ground states, however, the global ground states depend on the strength α of the interacting spin pairs. In the computation of the frustration the degeneracy of the Hilbert space is taken into account by a kind of thermodynamic mixtures, i.e. all possible ground states are mixed with equal probabilities. As shown in Ref. [26] this obtained maximally mixed ground state and all substates conserve all the symmetries of the Hamiltonian under investigation. Thus they represent the system's properties accordingly [28]. The quantity measuring frustration of a pair of spins $\{i,j\}$ is then defined by

$$f_{i,j}(\alpha) = 1 - Tr(\hat{\Pi}_{i,j}\,\rho) \ , \tag{4}$$

where ρ is the reduced density matrix obtained by tracing out from the maximally mixed ground state all the spins except i and j. In our case we have

$$f_{i,j}(\alpha) = 1-(\rho_{\uparrow_i\downarrow_j}+\rho_{\downarrow_i\uparrow_j}) = \rho_{\uparrow_i\uparrow_j}+\rho_{\downarrow_i\downarrow_j} = 2\,\rho_{\uparrow_i\uparrow_j} \ , \tag{5}$$

where the last equality holds because our model is invariant under spin inversions ($\rho_{\eta,\xi}$ are the elements corresponding to the projections $|\eta\xi\rangle\langle\eta\xi|$ onto ρ).

Since the global ground state is alternating between up and down states –so-called Néel states– the number of states in the state $\rho_{\uparrow\uparrow} = |\uparrow\uparrow\rangle\langle\uparrow\uparrow|$ depends on the distance between the spins (strictly speaking only for $\alpha > 0$). The reduced density matrix obtained from the maximally mixed global ground state for any two spins $\{i,j\}$ tracing out any other spins is for odd distances $|i-j| = 2k+1$ with $k = 0,1,\ldots$

$$\frac{1}{2}(|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle\langle\uparrow\downarrow| + |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle\langle\downarrow\uparrow|) \tag{6}$$

or for even distances $|i-j| = 2k$ with $k = 1,2,\ldots$

$$\frac{1}{2}(|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle\langle\uparrow\uparrow| + |\downarrow\downarrow\rangle\langle\downarrow\downarrow|) \ . \tag{7}$$

Frustration $f_{i,j}(\alpha)$ does therefore have a dependence on the distance between the spins: in the odd case the number of states $|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle$ is zero ($\rho_{\uparrow\uparrow} = 0$) and in the even case the number of states $|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle$ is maximal ($\rho_{\uparrow\uparrow} = \frac{1}{2}$). Consequently, independent of the strength α the frustration quantity $f_{i,j}(\alpha)$ attains the two dichotomic values 0 or 1.

From the quantum information theoretic point of view frustration quantifies the difference between the local and the global ground states independently of interaction strength. From the statistical mechanical point of view a high value of frustration for weakly coupling spins should be less relevant for the global behavior of the system than a comparable low value of frustration for highly coupled spins. Consequently, we are looking for a quantity that is sensitive to the distance's strength α and the relevance of the frustration. Therefore, we define the following function

$$\tilde{f}(\alpha,N) = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i>j}\frac{f_{ij}(\alpha)}{|i-j|^\alpha} \ . \tag{8}$$

This introduced function is not a new measure of the frustration per se, rather it is an average taking into account the relative strength of the interactions. The following results prove its potentiality.

In case the number of spins in the chain N is an integer multiple of 4, we find

$$\tilde{f}(\alpha,N) = \sum_{i=1}^{N/4}\frac{1}{(2i)^\alpha} - \frac{2^{\alpha-1}}{(N)^\alpha} \ , \tag{9}$$

whereas for $N = 4k+2$ with $k = 0,1,\ldots$ we obtain

$$\tilde{f}(\alpha,N) = \sum_{i=1}^{(N-2)/4}\frac{1}{(2i)^\alpha} \ . \tag{10}$$

In the thermodynamic limit both functions should coincide which is indeed the case, the characteristic frustration of the system becomes

$$\tilde{f}(\alpha) = \lim_{N\longrightarrow\infty}\tilde{f}(\alpha,N) = 2^{-\alpha}\zeta(\alpha) \ , \tag{11}$$

where $\zeta(\alpha)$ is the Riemann zeta function. Only in the case of $\alpha > 1$ the Riemann zeta function is converting to a finite value. In Fig. 1 we have plotted our characteristic frustration function for different α and N. As can be observed for $\alpha > 1$ the frustration has a finite value and with increasing α it converges to zero. In contrast to the case of $\alpha < 1$ where the value diverges in the thermodynamic limit. Let us further discuss this case by looking closer to the limiting case of $\alpha = 0$, i.e. all spins are equally interacting with any spin independently of the distance. In this case the spins can be reordered arbitrarily as long half of all spins are taking to be spin up and the other half is spin down. In the ground space we have therefore

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} N \\ \frac{N}{2} \end{array}\right) = \frac{N!}{(\frac{N}{2})!(\frac{N}{2})!} \simeq 2^N\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi N}} \tag{12}$$

possibilities, thus the degeneracy of the ground state space grows exponentially. At first sight one would assume that the reduced density matrices become inaccessible, however, since all possible global ground states are mixed equally, tracing out leads to an analytic density matrix. The number of states for which any two spins are in the state $|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle$ is given by fixing these two spins and a variation over all possibilities for the remaining spins obeying the fact that the total magnetization along z is zero

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} N-2 \\ \frac{N-4}{2} \end{array} \right) = \frac{(N-2)!}{(\frac{N}{2})!(\frac{N-4}{2})!} \, . \tag{13}$$

Dividing this number by all possible global ground states and multiplying by 2 we obtain the frustration of any pair of spins

$$f_{i,j}(\alpha = 0) = \frac{1}{2} \, \frac{N-2}{N-1} \, , \tag{14}$$

which is dependent on the length of the chain N. Notably this function does not exceed the value $\frac{1}{2}$. Now computing our characteristic frustration function (8) we find

$$\tilde{f}(\alpha = 0, N) = \frac{1}{N} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \frac{N-2}{N-1} \cdot \frac{N(N-1)}{2} = \frac{N-2}{4} \, . \tag{15}$$

It is extremely notable that this function can be also obtained from Eq. (9) or Eq. (10) by performing the limit $\alpha \to 0^+$. This fact implies a consistency and a continuity of $\tilde{f}$ even if the behavior of the degree of degeneracy of the ground state space is exponential.

IV. FRUSTRATION DUE TO GEOMETRICAL AND QUANTUM SOURCES

Now we proceed by considering also non-zero values of the x- and y-interaction in addition to the one in z (fixed with $J_z = -1$). The Hamiltonian is obviously no longer decomposable into local commuting terms. These models are no longer analytically solvable, thus we developed a numerical approach for finite size models (Lanczos algorithm) from which we extrapolate to the thermodynamical case.

In general we expect that frustration can have contributions from a classical, geometrical source (competing interactions as in the first case analyzed), however, also contributions from the quantum feature "entanglement". Our aim is to understand this origin. We start by discussing the general properties of the local and global quantum states and proceed then to discuss frustration.

A. Entanglement properties of the involved states

Due to the symmetries of the interaction terms the local ground states of two spins are generally maximally entangled



Figure 2: (Colour online) A classification scheme for the systems with $J_z = -1$ in dependence of J_x, J_y for $\alpha = 0$. For values above the line $J_x = -J_y$ (white region) the family of Hamiltonians all have a local symmetric ground state $|\psi^+\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\{|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle + |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle\}$. Whereas below the line $J_x = -J_y$ (coloured region) the local grounds states are still maximally entangled, but via the antisymmetric Bell state $|\psi^-\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\{|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle - |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle\}$. Above the dotted curves, depending on the size N, the family of Hamiltonians lead to non-degenerated global ground state, whereas the remaining region posses degenerated global ground states. In particular in the thermodynamic limit the global ground state is degenerated only in the case of both parameter J_x, J_y being negative. The plots are generated by interpolating different numerical results for a given N (101×101 models for $N \leq 14$ and 51×51 models for $N > 14$).

states, i.e. one out of the four so-called Bell states

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi^\pm\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\{|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle \pm |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle\} \, , \\ |\phi^\pm\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\{|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle \pm |\downarrow\downarrow\rangle\} \, . \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

With the antiferromagnetic interaction turned on ($J_z = -1$) the antisymmetric Bell state $|\psi^-\rangle$ with total spin zero or the symmetric Bell state $|\psi^+\rangle$ with total spin one is favoured (reference basis is the z-basis). For entanglement theory those four (two) Bell states do not differ in their entanglement property since they are connected by local unitaries. In particular any basis of maximally entangled states may be constructed out of one seed state by then applying in one subsystem unitaries (Pauli matrices or generally Weyl operators). This general property has been utilized in discussing separability properties extensively or are relevant in experimental realiations of mixed states [27]. For the discussion here it is important to note that the amount of entanglement (measured by any proper entanglement measure) is the same for both Bell states $|\psi^\pm\rangle$ under investigation since they differ only by a local unitary operation, e.g. $\sigma_z \otimes \mathbb{1}$ or $\mathbb{1} \otimes \sigma_z$. The aim is now to show how the local-to-global properties of the system under investigation influence each other.

Rotating locally the Hamiltonian (1) along the z-axis leads



Figure 3: (Color online) Behaviour of the frustration function for nearest neighbours evaluated for $N = 14$ spins for (a) $\alpha = 1$ and (b) $\alpha = 5$. The lighter the greyness the higher the value of the frustration. White colour corresponds to 1. For rather long-range models $\alpha = 1$ we observe rather different values of frustration related to the sensitivity to the entanglement property, whereas for short-range models ($\alpha = 5$) the family of models show the $J_x = -J_y$ symmetry, thus a kind of independence on particular realiation of the entanglement between two spins.

to local rotations of the Pauli matrices x and y. In particular for the case $\alpha \longrightarrow \infty$, if we apply to $\frac{N}{2}$ spin particles such a z-rotation we can change the sign of J_x or J_y, respectively. Thus there exists a bijective map between models above and below the symmetry line $J_y = -J_x$. Since we considered only local unitaries the amount of entanglement cannot change under those transformations. This symmetry is no longer given if the value α becomes finite.

B. Frustration properties

We start our analysis with the case of $\alpha \to \infty$, thus basically only short-range interactions (nearest-neighbour interactions) are present. We choose an even number of spins such that the frustration of classical origin can be assumed to be zero (see previous section), consequently being only sensitive to frustration originating from entanglement. Since there is no long-range interaction, we have no competing forces, but since frustration is still finite (see Fig. 4) we conclude it is of quantum origin.

In strong contrast to the other limiting case of infinite-range interactions ($\alpha \to 0$). Here, frustration becomes sensitive to the values of J_x and J_y and is plotted in Fig. 2. Interestingly, the models fall into two families classified by the local ground states. One for which the local ground state of any two spins is the symmetric Bell state $|\psi^+\rangle$; this is the case if the values of J_x, J_y are above the line $J_x = -J_y$. And another family for which the local ground state is the antisymmetric Bell state $|\psi^-\rangle$ (below the line $J_x = -J_y$).

Above the line (white area in Fig. 2) the global ground state is always non-degenerated. The value of the frustration we have computed numerically for the choices $N = 6, \ldots, 16$ and found that it depends on J_x, J_y generally. However, for fixed vales of N we found that the value of frustration does not change if we move along lines given by the equation

$$J_y(\gamma) \;=\; \gamma J_x + (\gamma - 1) \qquad \forall\, 0 \leq \gamma \leq \infty\,. \qquad (17)$$

Surprisingly, for $\gamma = 1$, where the frustration reaches the minimum for any fixed N, this family of models has the same frustration as in the case with the separable ground states ($J_x = J_y = 0$) given in Eq. (14). This unexpected identity is most likely due to the fact that in this case the Hamiltonian commutes with the total magnetization along the z-axis ($S_z = \sum_i \sigma_i^z$). This implies that the eigenstates of the Hamiltonian can be decomposed into $N + 1$ non-interacting subspaces, exactly like in the case $J_x = J_y = 0$. However, note that the degeneracy in the this case of the global ground state scales exponentially with N, whereas in the case ($J_x, J_y \neq 0$) we have no degeneracy.

On the contrary in the cases $\gamma \to 0, \infty$ the characteristic frustration functions tends to the maximum possible value in this region, $\frac{1}{2}$, independently of the values of J_x, J_y and N. Taking into account that in the thermodynamic limit also the minimum of the frustration, Eq. 14), tends to $\frac{1}{2}$, we can conclude that in the thermodynamic limit the value of frustration of any pair of spins tends to $\frac{1}{2}$ for the whole family under consideration.

In Ref. [28] it was generally shown that for models with a non-degenerated local ground state there is a striking relation (monogamy) between frustration and the value of the local entanglement. Applying it to our case the concurrence [29, 30], a computable measure of bipartite entanglement, is bounded from above by the value $\frac{1}{N-1}$, thus approaches zero in the thermodynamic limit.

C. The case of the antisymmetric maximally entangled local ground states

The picture for the case of the local ground states corresponding to the antisymmetric Bell state $|\psi^-\rangle$ (below the line $J_x = -J_y$) is more refined. We find two different regions which depend on the value of N (see Fig. 2). In the thermodynamic limit these two families are distinguished by having same or opposite signs in the x and y interactions. More precisely, the family (type A family) is given for values of J_x, J_y below the line $J_x = -J_y$ and above the lines

$$J_y(N) \;=\; -J_x - \frac{1}{4} N\,(N-2)\,J_x^2 \;\text{ for } J_x \geq 0, \qquad (18)$$
$$J_x(N) \;=\; -J_y - \frac{1}{4} N\,(N-2)\,J_y^2 \;\text{ for } J_x \leq 0.$$

This family has non-degenerated global ground states. The remaining values form the type B family which in the thermodynamic limit corresponds to $J_x, J_y < 0$. Here the global ground states are degenerated.

In contrast to the symmetric Bell case the type A family has maximal frustration. In this case the conditions for the monogamy between frustration and concurrence found in Ref. [28] do not hold. Our numerical results show that the values of concurrence are continuous extensions from the values obtained for the one above the line $J_x = -J_y$.

The type B family shows degeneracy that scales exponentially with N. Indeed, for $\alpha = 0$ the degeneracy evaluates to $\frac{1}{2+N}$ times those of Eq. (12), which is exactly equivalent to the case $J_x = J_y = 0$. Surprisingly, we find that the values of our characteristic frustration function are depending on N but not on the specific values of J_x, J_y and is given by

$$\frac{3}{4}\frac{N-2}{N-1} \tag{19}$$

and thus frustration takes values from $\frac{1}{2}$ $(N = 4)$ to $\frac{3}{4}$ $(N = \infty)$. The high degeneracy leads to strongly mixed local ground states, all entanglement disappears though the presence of frustration.

For $\alpha = 0$ we found

$$\begin{aligned} J_y > -J_x (\text{above the line}): &\quad \frac{N-2}{4} \leq \tilde{f}_{\alpha=0} \leq \frac{N-1}{4}\,, \\ \textbf{Type A} (\text{below the line}): &\quad \tilde{f}_{\alpha=0} = \frac{3}{8}(N-2)\,, \\ \textbf{Type B} (\text{below the line}): &\quad \tilde{f}_{\alpha=0} = \frac{N-1}{2}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

which corresponds to the lower bound of $\tilde{f}$ for any $\alpha > 0$. It supports our intuition that when quantum effects become more and more relevant, also frustration increases. However, as discussed above there are distinct differences in the behavior due to different relation of the maximal entanglement (symmetric or anitsymmetric).

When assuming higher values of α (Fig. 3) the local effects get more and more washed out and the values of the frustration decrease to a fixed value. In Fig. 4 we made that more precise by computing the maximal and minimal value of the characteristic frustration function $\tilde{f}$ optimized over all values J_x, J_y that lead to non-degenerated local ground states for $N = 6, 8, 10, 12$. We compared these optima with the maxima for $\alpha = 0$.

Summarizing, for the long-range cases ($\alpha \simeq 1$) the models fall in three different subfamilies, each with different local properties and different frustration values (e.g. $\alpha = 1$ drawn in Fig. 3 (a)). In the thermodynamic limit these models show generally high values of frustration preventing the system from building up strong local quantum correlations. In the limit to the short-range case $\alpha \to \infty$ the local properties of the ground states are washed out restoring the symmetry between families below and above the line $J_x = -J_y$. The frustration values are equal and are generally lower than the ones for smaller α (e.g. $\alpha = 1$ pictured in Fig. 3 (a)). Thus in the region between the two extreme cases $0 < \alpha < \infty$ frustration is due to quantum and geometrical effects. No bijective map between the models below and above the line $J_y = -J_x$ exists.

D. A frustration quantity sensitive to the local ground states

To quantitatively understand how the behavior of frustration changes when going from low to high values of α we need a quantity that is sensitive to the difference of the frustration between the different subregions (above and below the line $J_y = -J_x$). Starting from our definition of the weighed frustration $\tilde{f}$ we introduce the anisotropy parameter between the symmetric and antisymmetric local ground state space

$$\Lambda(\alpha, N) \;=\; \int_\Omega \left| \tilde{f}_{J_x,J_y}(\alpha, N) - \tilde{f}_{-J_x,-J_y}(\alpha, N) \right| d\Omega\,, \tag{21}$$

where Ω is the parameter space of J_x, J_y. Thus f_{J_x,J_y} is defined by Eq. (4).

The computations of $\Lambda(\alpha, N)$ are displayed in Fig. 5. For the extremal case $\alpha \to \infty$ (symmetry between below and above the line $J_y = -J_x$ restored) we have $f_{J_x,J_y}(\infty, N) = f_{-J_x,-J_y}(\infty, N)$ and thus it follows $\Lambda(\infty, N) = 0$ for all N. In the other extremal case $\alpha = 0$ we were not able to find any analytical solution, but we can extract from our numerical evaluations for $\alpha > 0$ that the asymmetry parameter goes with $\Lambda(\alpha \to 0, N) = \frac{3N}{8}$. For $\alpha \geq 3$ we find an exponential decay of the asymmetry function which is proportional to $2^{-(\alpha+1)}$ independently of N.



Figure 4: (Colour online) The behavior of the characteristic frustration function $\tilde{f}$ in dependence of α for $N = 6, 8, 10, 12$. The maximum (blue circles) and the minimum (black squares) over all J_x, J_y with non-degenerated local ground states of $\tilde{f}$ are drawn as well as $\tilde{f}$ for the antiferromagnetic long-range Ising model (red diamonds).



Figure 5: (Colour online) Behaviour of the anisotropy parameter $\Lambda(\alpha, N)$ scaling with $N = 6, 8, 10$ in dependence (logarithmic scale). Each circle corresponds to the value of $\Lambda(\alpha, N)$ computed by integrating 10201 models. The solid (red) lines show the exponential dependence of $\Lambda(\alpha, N)$, i.e. proportional to $2^{-\alpha+1}$.

V. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The aim of this contribution is to study the role of (local) entanglement, a quantum phenomenon, in frustrated many-body systems in order to understand how entanglement relates to frustration, a non-quantum phenomenon. For that we defined a family of Hamiltonians describing systems of spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ particles with distance-dependent two-body interactions. By deriving the eigenstates and eigenvalues for these multipartite systems we were able to study the properties related to frustration and entanglement. Only in few cases there exist analytical results, thus for the most cases we have performed numerical computations for a finite number of particles. This, however, turned to be very consistent and fast converging.

In general we find that our results show a continuity of the two quantum–non-quantum features between the two extremal cases of very short-range and very long-range interactions. Though, however, the microscopic properties differ strongly in their behaviour such as, e.g., exhibiting degenerated or non-degenerated ground states. From the continuity of the characteristic functions varied by several input parameters we conclude that our results reflect in some limit the realistic systems.

Our main result is a function, the anisotropy function Λ, capable to characterize the frustration and simultaneously being sensitive to the local asymmetry in the entanglement. With its help we can understand the different sources of frustration and its interplay.

With this work of analyzing a well-defined family of multipartite spin systems by designed functions we provide a framework that allows in future to turn to more realistic (involved) Hamiltonians and contributed to the understanding how the microscopic properties of shared information of parties relates to global properties observed in condensed matter systems.

Acknowledgements: S.M.G. acknowledges financial support from the Ministry of Science, Technology and Innovation of Brazil, K.S. acknowledges the Austrian Science Fund (FWF-P26783), A.C. acknowledges partial financial support from MIUR and INFN and B.C.H. Austrian Science Fund (FWF-P23627).

[1] Coffman V, Kundu J and Wootters W K, *Distributed entanglement*, 2000 *Phys. Rev. A* **61** 052306.
[2] White S R, *Density matrix formulation for quantum renormalization groups*, 1992 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **69** 2863.
[3] Amico L, Fazio R, Osterloh A and Vedral V, *Entanglement in many-body systems*, 2008 *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **80** 517.
[4] Holzhey C, Larsen F and Wilczek F, *Geometric and renormalized entropy in conformal field theory*, 1994 *Nucl. Phys. B* **424** 443.
[5] Vidal G, Latorre J I, Rico E and Kitaev A, *Entanglement in Quantum Critical Phenomena*, 2003 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90** 227902.
[6] Korepin V E, *Universality of Entropy Scaling in One Dimensional Gapless Models*, 2004 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92** 096402.
[7] Calabrese P and Cardy J, *Entanglement entropy and quantum field theory*, 2004 *J. Stat. Mech.: Theor. Exp.* P06002.
[8] Chen X, Gu Z-C and Wen X-G, *Local unitary transformation, long-range quantum entanglement, wave function renormalization, and topological order*, 2010 *Phys. Rev. B* **82** 155138.
[9] Deutsch J M, *Quantum statistical mechanics in a closed system*, 1991 *Phys. Rev. A* **43** 2046.
[10] Srednicki M, *Chaos and quantum thermalization*, 1994 *Phys. Rev. E* **50** 888.
[11] Popescu S, Short A J and Winter A, *Entanglement and the foundations of statistical mechanics*, 2006 *Nature Phys.* **2** 754.
[12] Barthel T and Schollwöck U, *Dephasing and the Steady State in Quantum Many-Particle Systems*, 2008 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100** 100601.
[13] Hamma A, Giampaolo S M and Illuminati F, *Mutual information and spontaneous symmetry breaking*, 2016 *Phys. Rev. A* **93** 012303.
[14] Giampaolo S M, Adesso G and Illuminati F, *Theory of ground state factorization in quantum cooperative systems*, 2008 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100** 197201; Giampaolo S M, Adesso G and Illuminati F, *Separability and ground-state factorization in quantum spin systems*, 2009 *Phys. Rev. B* **79** 224434; Giampaolo S M, Adesso G and Illuminati F, *Probing quantum frustrated systems via factorization of the ground state* 2010 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104** 207202.
[15] Gabriel A, Hiesmayr B C, *Macroscopic Observables Detecting Genuine Multipartite Entanglement and Partial Inseparability in Many-Body Systems*, 2013 *Eur. Phys. Lett.* **101** 30003.
[16] Gabriel A, Murg V, Hiesmayr B C, *Partial Multipartite Entanglement in the Matrix Product State Formalism*, 2013 *Phys. Rev. A* **88** 052334.
[17] Barcza G, Noack R M, Solyom J and Legeza Ö, *Entanglement patterns and generalized correlation functions in quantum many-body systems*, 2015 *Phys. Rev. B* **92** 125140.
[18] Toulouse G, *Theory of frustration effect in spin glasses: I*, 1977 *Commun. Phys.* **2** 115; Vannimenus J and Toulouse G, *Theory of frustration effect: II. Ising spins on a square lattice*, 1977 *J. Phys. C* **10** L537.
[19] Villain J, *Spin glass with non-random interactions*, 1977 *J. Phys. C* **10** 1717.
[20] Kirkpatrick S, *Frustration and ground-state degeneracy in spin glasses*, 1977 *Phys. Rev. B* **16** 4630.
[21] Binder K and Young A P, *Spin glasses: Experimental facts, theoretical concepts, and open questions*, 1986 *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **58** 801.
[22] Mezard M, Parisi G and Virasoro M A, *Spin Glass Theory and Beyond*, 1987 (Singapore: World Scientific).
[23] Lacroix C, Mendels P and Mila F, *Introduction to Frustrated Magnetism*, 2011 (Heidelberg-Berlin: Springer-Verlag).
[24] Diep H T (ed.), *Frustrated Spin Systems*, 2013 (Singapore: World Scientific).
[25] Giampaolo S M, Gualdi G, Monras A, Illuminati F, *Characterizing and quantifying frustration in quantum many-body systems*, 2011 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107** 260602.
[26] Marzolino U, Giampaolo S. M and Illuminati F, *Frustration, Entanglement, and Correlations in Quantum Many Body Systems*, 2013 *Phys. Rev. A* **88** 020301(R).
[27] Carvacho G, Graffitti F, D'Ambrosio V, Hiesmayr B C

and Sciarrino F, *Twin GHZ-states behave differently*, 2015 arXiv:1512.06262.

[28] Giampaolo S M, Hiesmayr B C and Illuminati F, *Global-to-local incompatibility, monogamy of entanglement, and ground-state dimerization: Theory and observability of quantum frustration in systems with competing interactions*, 2015 *Phys. Rev. B* **92** 144406.

[29] Hill S and Wootters W K, *Entanglement of a Pair of Quantum Bits*, 1997 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **78** 5022.

[30] Wootters W K, *Entanglement of Formation of an Arbitrary State of Two Qubits*, 1998 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80** 2245.